

Existence of common fixed point for some generalized non-expansive mappings in b -metric space

Phyong Il Hong

Faculty of Applied Mathematics, Kim Chaek University of Technology, Central district, Pyongyang, Democratic People's Republic of Korea

Email: corresponding / presenting author's (Phyong Il Hong*) email address: hpi82722@star-co.net.kp

Received: 02 Sep 2025; Received in revised form: 30 Sep 2025; Accepted: 07 Oct 2025; Available online: 11 Oct 2025

©2025 The Author(s). Published by AI Publications. This is an open access article under the CC BY license

(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

Abstract— The concept of metric space or b -metric space plays a significant role in proving the existence theorem of solutions of differential equations or integral equations. A b -metric space is a generalization of a metric space. In this paper, we propose a generalization of non-expansive maps and investigate the conditions under which these maps have a common fixed point in b -metric spaces, and give examples illustrating our results. Our results are a generalization of some previous results.

Keywords— fixed point, b -metric space, generalized non-expansive mapping, common fixed point

I. INTRODUCTION

Since Banach's contraction principle has been of great application value, there has been a great deal of research to generalize it to date. The study of generalizing Banach's contraction principle is largely bifurcated. One is a generalization of the space structure in which the map is defined, and the other is a generalization of the contraction condition. Recently, there has been a growing effort to generalize the fixed point theorem for some contractions in b -metric spaces, which is a generalization of metric spaces (see e.g. [2]- [11]).

In [1], the fixed point theorem for generalized non-expansive mappings in complete metric space is introduced as follows.

Theorem 1.1[2] Let S, T map complete metric space X into itself and let a function family $a_i (i = 1, \dots, 5)$ map $X \times X$ into $[0, 1)$ satisfying

$$(1) \quad r = \sup\{\sum_{i=1}^5 a_i(x, y) : x, y \in X\} < 1$$

$$(2) \quad a_2 = a_3, a_4 = a_5$$

$$(3) \quad \forall x, y \in X,$$

$$d(S(x), T(y)) \leq a_1 d(x, y) + a_2 d(x, T(y)) + a_3 d(y, S(x)) + a_4 d(x, S(x)) + a_5 d(y, T(y))$$

where $a_i = a_i(x, y)$. Then either S or T has a fixed point. In particular if both S and T have fixed points, then S and T have unique fixed points respectively and these two fixed points coincide.

The above fixed point theorem introduced in [1] is of significant importance as it is a generalization of different kinds of fixed point theorems. For instance, Banach's fixed point theorem is that if $S = T$ and $a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = a_5 = 0$, then mapping T has a unique fixed point. Kannan proved in [10] that if $S = T$ and $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0$, then mapping T has a unique fixed point and Reich proved in [11] that if $S = T$ and $a_2 = a_3 = 0$, then mapping T has a unique fixed point. Hardy and Rodger proved in [7] that if $S = T$ then mapping T has a unique fixed point and Gupta and Srivastava proved in [5] that if $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0$ and $a_4 = a_5$, then S and T have a unique common fixed point.

As is shown above, the fixed point theorem introduced in [1] is of great importance as it includes various kinds of fixed point theorem as a special case. However, to date, we have not studied the condition for these maps to have fixed points in the b-metric space structure. Thus, generalizing this fixed point theorem to b-metric space, we can obtain a generalization of the above-mentioned several fixed point theorems in b-metric space, and we can study the fixed point theorems in b-metric space more extensively.

We generalize the contraction map introduced in [1] and present and prove a condition for these maps to have a unique common fixed point in a b-metric space. We also present results and examples that show that our results are a generalization of previous work.

II. MAIN RESULTS

The definition of a b-metric space is as follows.

Definition 2.1 ([6], [9]). Let X be a non-empty set and $s \geq 1$ be a given real number. d is called b-metric on X if the function $d: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfies

- (1) $d(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$
- (2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$
- (3) $d(x, y) \leq s[d(x, z) + d(z, y)]$ (b-triangular inequality)

for all $x, y, z \in X$. (X, d) is called a b-metric space with a parameter s .

Theorem 2.1 Let S, T be mappings with a parameter $s \geq 1$ that maps a complete b-metric space into itself and let function family $a_i (i = 1, \dots, 5)$ map $X \times X$ into $[0, 1)$ satisfying

$$(1) \quad r := \sup \{a_1(x, y) + sa_2(x, y) + a_3(x, y) + a_4(x, y) + a_5(x, y) : x, y \in X\} < 1$$

$$(2) \quad sa_2 = a_3, a_4 = a_5$$

$$(3) \quad \forall x, y \in X,$$

$$d(S(x), T(y)) \leq a_1 d(x, y) + a_2 d(x, T(y)) + a_3 d(y, S(x)) + a_4 d(x, S(x)) + a_5 d(y, T(y))$$

where $a_i = a_i(x, y)$. Then either S or T has a fixed point. Especially if both S and T have fixed points then S and T have unique fixed points and they coincide each other.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X, x_{2n+1} = S(x_{2n}), x_{2n} = T(x_{2n+1}), n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

First let us prove that either S or T has a fixed point.

To this end, for an arbitrary n let us assume that $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$. If $x_n = x_{n+1}$ then it is proved straightforward. From the condition (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_1, x_2) &= d(S(x_0), T(x_1)) \leq a_1 d(x_0, x_1) + a_2 d(x_0, x_2) + a_3 d(x_1, x_1) + a_4 d(x_0, x_1) + a_5 d(x_1, x_2) \\ &= (a_1 + a_4) d(x_0, x_1) + a_2 d(x_0, x_2) + a_5 d(x_1, x_2) \end{aligned}$$

By b-triangular inequality we have

$$d(x_1, x_2) \leq sd(x_0, x_1) + sd(x_1, x_2)$$

And so

$$d(x_1, x_2) \leq (a_1 + sa_2 + a_4) d(x_0, x_1) + (sa_2 + a_5) d(x_1, x_2)$$

Thus we obtain

$$(1 - sa_2 - a_5) d(x_1, x_2) \leq (a_1 + sa_2 + a_4) d(x_0, x_1) \quad (1)$$

Note that we have

$$\frac{a_1 + sa_2 + a_4}{1 - sa_2 - a_5} \leq \frac{r - a_3 - a_5}{1 - sa_2 - a_5} = \frac{r - a_3 - a_5}{1 - a_3 - a_5}$$

and by letting $a_3 + a_5 = x$, we clearly have $a_3 + a_5 \leq \frac{r}{2} < \frac{1}{2}$ and for arbitrary x within $[0, 1/2]$

$$\frac{r-x}{1-x} \leq r$$

Accordingly we have $\frac{a_1+sa_2+a_4}{1-sa_2-a_5} \leq r$ and by using (1) we obtain

$$d(x_1, x_2) \leq rd(x_0, x_1)$$

Repeating this process gives

$$d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}) \leq rd(x_{n+1}, x_n)$$

Therefore

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq r^n d(x_0, x_1)$$

Since $r < 1$, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d(x_{n+1}, x_n) < \infty$$

Thus we can conclude that sequence of points $\{x_n\}$ is cauchy sequence. By the completeness of (X, d) , $\{x_n\}$ converges into the point x of X . Since $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ for arbitrary n , either $x_{2n+1} \neq x$ or $x_{2n+1} = x$ is satisfied for infinitely many n . Without the loss of generality, assume that $x_{2n+1} \neq x$ for infinitely many n , i.e., there exist a subsequence $\{k(n)\}$ of $\{n\}$ such that there exist a sequence $\{x_{2k(n)+1}\}$ satisfying

$$x_{2k(n)+1} \neq x$$

for arbitrary n .

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, T(x)) &\leq sd(x, x_{2k(n)+1}) + sd(x_{2k(n)+1}, T(x)) \\ &= sd(x, x_{2k(n)+1}) + sd(S(x_{2k(n)}), T(x)) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

From (2) it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} d(S(x_{2k(n)}), T(x)) &\leq a_1 d(x_{2k(n)}, x) \\ &+ a_2 d(x_{2k(n)}, T(x)) + a_3 d(x, x_{2k(n)+1}) \\ &+ a_4 d(x_{2k(n)}, x_{2k(n)+1}) + a_5 d(x, T(x)) \\ &\leq d(x_{2k(n)}, x) + \frac{r}{2} d(x_{2k(n)}, T(x)) \\ &+ d(x, x_{2k(n)+1}) + d(x_{2k(n)}, x_{2k(n)+1}) + \frac{r}{2} d(x, T(x)) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

for $a_i = a_i(x, y)$ and taking the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2) and (3), we have

$$d(x, Tx) \leq rd(x, Tx)$$

Since $r < 1$, we have $x = Tx$, i.e. T has unique fixed point.

Next, let x be the fixed point of S and y be the fixed point of T . Now we prove that $x = y$. If not, we can know that by (3), with respect to $a_i = a_i(x, y)$

$$d(x, y) = d(S(x), T(y)) \leq (a_1 + sa_2 + a_3)d(x, y) < d(x, y)$$

must hold. This is contradictory and thus $x = y$. So S and T have a common fixed point. The uniqueness of the common fixed point is straightforward. $\square$

Theorem 2.2 Let T be a mapping from complete b -metric space (X, d) into itself. Assume that there exist a symmetric function family $a_1(x, y), a_4(x, y), a_5(x, y)$ that maps $X \times X$ into $[0, 1)$ satisfying

$$1) \ r = \sup\{(a_1(x, y) + a_4(x, y) + a_5(x, y))\} < 1$$

$$2) \ \forall x, y \in X,$$

$$d(T(x), T(y)) \leq a_1 d(x, y) + a_4 d(x, T(x)) + a_5 d(y, T(y))$$

where $a_i = a_i(x, y)$. Then mapping T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. For any $x, y \in X$, from the condition (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(T(x), T(y)) &\leq a_1 d(x, y) + a_4 d(x, T(x)) + a_5 d(y, T(y)) \\ d(T(y), T(x)) &\leq a_1 d(y, x) + a_4 d(y, T(y)) + a_5 d(x, T(x)) \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} d(T(x), T(y)) &\leq a_1 d(x, y) + \left(\frac{a_4 + a_5}{2}\right) d(x, T(x)) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{a_4 + a_5}{2}\right) d(y, T(y)) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Thus if we set

$$b_1 = a_1, b_2 = 0, b_3 = 0, b_4 = \frac{a_4 + a_5}{2}, b_5 = \frac{a_4 + a_5}{2}$$

then by (4) we obtain

$$d(T(x), T(y)) \leq b_1 d(x, y) + b_2 d(x, T(y)) + b_3 d(y, T(x)) + b_4 d(x, T(x)) + b_5 d(y, T(y))$$

and

$$b_1 + s \cdot b_2 + b_3 + b_4 + b_5 = a_1 + a_4 + a_5 \leq r$$

and the assumptions of theorem 1 are all satisfied. Therefore mapping T has a unique fixed point. $\square$

Theorem 2.2 can be thought of as Reich's fixed point theorem in b -metric space.

Furthermore, setting $a_1 = 0$ in the above theorem gives us Kannan's fixed point theorem in b -metric space.

Example 1. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $d: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be defined as

$$\begin{aligned} d(0,1) &= d(1,0) = 1 \\ d(0,2) &= d(2,0) = \frac{1}{5} \\ d(1,2) &= d(2,1) = \frac{3}{5} \\ d(0,0) &= d(1,1) = d(2,2) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

It can be easily seen that metric space (X, d) is a complete b -metric space with the parameter $s = \frac{5}{4}$. Let us define the mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ to be

$$T(0) = 0, T(1) = 2, T(2) = 0$$

and set $a_1 = a_4 = a_5 = \frac{1}{4}$. Then we have $a_1 + a_4 + a_5 = \frac{3}{4} < 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} d(T(0), T(1)) &= d(0, 2) = \frac{1}{5} \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \left(1 + 0 + \frac{3}{5}\right) = a_1 d(0,1) + a_4 d(0, T(0)) + a_5 d(1, T(1)) \\ d(T(0), T(2)) &= d(0, 0) = 0 \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{5} + 0 + \frac{1}{5}\right) = a_1 d(0,2) + a_4 d(0, T(0)) + a_5 d(2, T(0)) \\ d(T(1), T(2)) &= d(2, 0) = \frac{1}{5} \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \left(\frac{3}{5} + \frac{3}{5} + \frac{1}{5}\right) = a_1 d(1,2) + a_4 d(1, T(1)) + a_5 d(2, T(2)) \end{aligned}$$

Thus by theorem 2.2, T has a unique fixed point.

Example 2. Let $X = [0, 1]$ and $d: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be defined as $d(x, y) = |x - y|^2$. It is easily seen that (X, d) is a b -metric space with $s = 2$. For any $x \in X$, let us define T as $Tx := \frac{x}{4}$ and set $a_1 = a_4 = a_5 = \frac{1}{8}$. Then we have

$$a_1 + a_4 + a_5 = \frac{3}{8} < 1$$

Furthermore, for $\forall x, y \in X$, we obtain

$$d(T(x), T(y)) = \left| \frac{x}{4} - \frac{y}{4} \right|^2 = \frac{1}{16} |x - y|^2 \leq \frac{1}{8} |x - y|^2 + \frac{1}{8} \left| x - \frac{x}{4} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{8} \left| y - \frac{y}{4} \right|^2 \\ = a_1 d(x, y) + a_4 d(x, T(x)) + a_5 d(y, T(y))$$

Thus, from theorem 2.2, mapping T has a unique fixed point.

III. CONCLUSIONS

We generalized the condition of fixed point theorem introduced in [1] and presented and proved the condition for it to hold in b -metric space. Our results are an improvement and generalization of some previous fixed point results in complete metric space and b -metric space, such as [1], [4], [7].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors greatly appreciate the contribution of Organizing Committee to make the conference fruitful and exciting.

REFERENCES

- [1] Wong C.S., 1973. Common fixed points of two mappings, *Pacific J. Math.*, 48, 299-312.
- [2] Dukic D, Kadelburg Z, Radenović S., 2011, Fixed points of Geraghty-type mappings in various generalized metric spaces. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 2011, 561245.
- [3] Dosenović T, Pavlović M, Radenović S., 2017. Contractive conditions in b -metric spaces. *Vojnotehnicki Glasnik/Mil. Tech. Cour.* 65, 851–865. [CrossRef]
- [4] Faraji H, Nourouzi K., 2017. A generalization of Kannan and Chatterjea fixed point theorems on complete b -metric spaces. *Sahand Commun. Math. Anal.*, 6, 77–86.
- [5] Hardy G, Rogers T., 1973. A generalization of a fixed point theorem of Reich. *Canad. Math. Bull.* 16, 201-206.
- [6] Huaping H., 2018. Fixed point theorems in b -metric spaces with applications to differential equations. *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 1–24.
- [7] Ilchev A, Zlatanov B., 2016. On Fixed Points for Reich Maps in b -Metric Spaces; Annual of Konstantin Preslavski University of Shumen. *Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science VI: Shumen, Bulgaria*, 77–88.
- [8] Pramila S, Vijay K.G., 1971. A note on common fixed points. *Yokohama Math. J.* 19, 91-95.
- [9] Radu M, Alxandru M., 2017. New fixed point theorems for set-valued contractions in b -metric spaces. *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 19, 2153-2163.
- [10] Kannan R., 1969. Some results on fixed points II. *Amer. Math. Monthly* 76, 405-408.
- [11] Simon R., 1971. Some remarks concerning contraction mappings. *Canad. Math. Bull.* 14, 121-124.